

AN OPEN LETTER TO GEORGE SOROS

Mike Oliver, President of The Manhattan Project 2 Corporation

Like you, I am a Jewish immigrant to the U.S. but, unlike you, I am not rich. I came to this country in 1947, after spending nearly two years in displaced persons camps in Germany. Before then, I spent four years in the Kaunas, Lithuania Ghetto and in two concentration camps: Stuthoff, near Danzig, and Lager 10 of the Landsberg Kaufering Outer Camps of Dachau. I was liberated by U.S. troops near Waakirchen, a German village ten kilometers from Bad Toelz, while on a forced march from Lager 10 to Dachau and then towards the Bavarian Alps. I was treated well by U.S. troops and noticed that they treated German people just as well.

You use your funds and influence to sway world events. This can benefit or harm a nation. German industrialists used their funds and might to empower Hitler – a move that caused the death of 50 million people, including Germans. Teddy Roosevelt sought peace, but coupled it with strength—a combination that benefits those who seek to live in freedom, and won the Nobel Peace Prize. This happened long before it was awarded to the likes of Arafat.

Your support of extreme leftists is greatly damaging our nation and every other free nation on this globe. We are especially concerned about their activities on energy.

Observe what is happening to our nation: U.S. purchases of foreign oil raise its price on world markets *regardless where we buy it*, so that, even if we scarcely do any business with Iran and other hostile countries, they get extra cash for their petroleum from *other* buyers, and use it to finance terrorism, as well as to acquire deadly weapons. Experts say that, while countries such as China also purchase oil

from outside sources, an end to U.S. imports of that oil would vastly increase its supply on world markets, and cause its price to sink to \$15 or less per barrel, without OPEC being able to prevent this.

Notwithstanding claims by populists, supply and demand still rule the price of goods and services. Notice what occurred to costs of computers, DVD's and TV sets: competition and abundance of these products keeps their price in check. On the other hand, green extremist thwart construction of nuclear power plants and oil and gas facilities, so the price of energy keeps increasing with passing time, with the poorest in our midst suffering most from this — the same people leftist Greens claim to champion. Yet a drastic cut in its price would help us more than a deep reduction in our taxes, while the cash flow to the terrorists would sharply fall.

Greens assert that greedy capitalists are blocking us from using their “alternatives”, such as solar plants, wind turbines, or biomass, or geothermal wells, yet China, Cuba and Vietnam hardly use them and opted for nuclear or coal or oil or gas units instead. They thwart the use of our *viable* supplies of energy and would replace them with energy supplies that with few and minor exceptions are worse than useless. The more we try them, the more we are compelled to buy increasing amounts of oil and gas at ever-rising prices. Yet we have abundant energy supplies within our own confines and nearby seas that are far more viable than those they push.

In every land throughout history, there have been people who hated freedom for everyone except themselves. In the U.S. they gained scant support under the banner of communism, fascism and other totalitarian forms, but environmentalism granted them the best camouflage beneath which to hide their true intentions, which is to rule us with an iron fist. Who would oppose clean air, clean water and clean surroundings? There have been, and from time to time still occur, abuses against the environment. Why not exploit this for their own use? For every issue concerning energy (and many other matters) they have a simple answer: tighter government control over the people — government they seek to totally control and already dominate to a large extent. Why not cripple access to our ample *viable* supplies of energy and foster energy supplies which, in the aggregate, are worse than useless? Why not create a problem they can then exploit to increase totalitarian rule? Why not work with elitists in the news as well as entertainment field and academia to mislead the public on this matter? Some persons in these fields hate free enterprise to start with, while others are too lazy to learn what is right or wrong about this issue.

Thus they gained respectability in our society and are pushing an agenda that has nothing much to do with the environment, but much to do with undermining our country. They threaten the reelection of politicians who do not toe their line; they influence the curricula in nearly all our schools, from kindergarten to our largest universities; they thwart the use of our viable supplies of energy and, thereby, force us to purchase ever increasing amounts of oil from hostile nations — nations that, directly or by other means, train and underwrite the terrorists.

They also meddle in our defense and foreign policies, and have embarked on an anti-Israel course which, as will be seen, endangers the entire world. The Green Party of the U.S. demands divestment of U.S. companies in Israel, while Ralph Nader seeks an end of U.S. aid to it, but not to Egypt or the Palestinians.

The Green Party also protested our boycott of the 2001 U.N. Conference in Durban, South Africa, where Israel was denounced, while the terrorists they fought were hardly criticized. Greens promote a weakening of U.S. military forces, and a de facto U.S. surrender to the Islamo-fascists. Except for few and paltry statements, leaders of the Greens hardly protested the bombing of our embassies, the blowing up of buses filled with children, the pushing of a wheel-chaired man from ship to sea, where he drowned, the gruesome deeds of 9/11, and the killing of men, women and children, not as collateral damage, but as intended targets.

They claim to be the underfunded guardians of this planet, but are financed not only by average Americans they mislead, but also by some of our largest charitable foundations, first established by the likes of Carnegie, now controlled by graduates of Ivy League Universities where Marx is still worshiped as the Messiah. As a result, they lack no cash for launching endless lawsuits not only against corporations, but also against individual farmers, ranchers and even home owners who displease them.

It has been said that “lies repeated means truth defeated”, and with little opposition to expose them, these lies became accepted as the dogma of our times. As a result, Greens now arrogate unto themselves the intellectual and moral high ground, and demand that we should heed their edicts. It is time to reveal them for the demagogues they are: worse than those who peddle snake oil cures for all our ills.

Thus we see that environmentalism is being led by extreme leftists who, with the leftists of Cuba and Venezuela, and the extreme rightist sheiks and ayatollahs, forged a bond reminiscent of the Hitler-Stalin Pact that lasted long enough to trigger World War II.

The axis of evil then consisted of Italy, Japan, and Germany. When Italy was forced out of the war, we still had the Japanese and Germans to defeat. We conquered Germany by crushing all its armed forces, and subdued Japan after pulverizing many of its cities. Roosevelt, and later Truman, found this crucial to our victory — especially after the losses suffered by our forces in Iwo Jima and Okinawa.

The current axis of evil first consisted of Iraq, Iran and North Korea. We defeated the *Iraqi army*, but failed to tame the other members of that group — members that are fanning strife within Iraq. We can destroy them within days and even hours, but oppose this because people would then perish by the millions. Yet the imams hold no such scruples, and will use all the weapons they can wield against us. So will the dictator of North Korea.



Letter to Mr. Soros

(con't from page 19)

Their axis is at least as dangerous as was the axis of World War II; and we must fight them with the same intensity as we fought the erstwhile fascists, lest we be wiped off from the face of Planet Earth. As Chamberlain could not appease Hitler, so are we unable to appease the sheiks and ayatollahs.

Extremist Greens and other leftists would fight the terrorists – if at all – in accordance to the rules of Emily Post. But, had we done this when we fought Japan and Germany, they, not we, would have won World War II. Let's not forget how Sherman, under Lincoln, fought the South.

We applied political correctness in Vietnam and were defeated, and millions of people were murdered, while others were incarcerated for countless years. Still others fled in leaky boats through pirate infested waters to escape the hell that then engulfed their previous homelands.

Now the stakes are vastly higher for us – perhaps as high as a loss to Hitler would have been in the '40's. Should we lose against radical Islam, a new Dark Age will engulf the world – a Dark Age as tyrannical as that which lasted a millennium. For, the march of time does not always come with a betterment of people. The world fell into an abyss in the past, and may fall into an abyss once again, if we fail to muster all our strength against our foes.

We have to mobilize ourselves to do this. We are thinking of defeat at the hands of monsters when we hardly flexed our muscles to subdue them. Can't we recall that, with half our present population in the '40's, we had 12 million people in our armed forces? *Can't we have at least half that number in our armed forces now?* We could then completely seal Iraq's borders and prevent the entry by *anyone* we wish to halt. We could invade and rapidly defeat Iran if it failed to stop its help to the terrorists.

We can prevail with ease over the Islamo fascists, if we treat them the way we treated the Nazi leaders at the end of World War II. Committing murder in the name of faith does not excuse the killers or their handlers from being treated as the criminals they are.

Still, there is another way for handling this dilemma, and we mentioned it right from the start: let us stop buying oil from other countries and use the energy supplies amply available within our own U.S. The terrorists hardly posed a threat to us until we started to send them billions of our dollars through their sponsor nations.

Let us recognize the root of our problem, and recall the words of Lincoln. If we fall, it will be due to our own internal foes, not to the sword our enemies can wield against us.

We cannot win the war against the terrorists until we first neutralize their most important helpers: the people who are blocking use of our proven energy supplies and are forcing us to pur-

chase oil from our foes; the people who are thereby funding our destroyers.

Many statements have been made about this issue, but they were largely tainted by “political correctness” — the censorship that blinds us to reality and is giving aid and comfort to our foes. We cannot overemphasize a glaring fact: attempts to tap the vast oil and gas reserves in Alaska and in other states and offshore U.S. areas, and build extra nuclear power plants as well, are thwarted by our Greens and their helpers in the Congress and the news media, as well as academia. They claim that, even if requests like that were quickly granted, no new oil or gas or nuclear power could be available to us for at least a decade, but hardly mention that the U.S. was and still remains a “Can Do” nation. They fail to stress how fast we act and get results when our people are acquainted with the facts:

With half our current population, and a technology far behind its present state, we built the 1,200-mile Alaska Highway within a 12-month period, and developed atomic bombs within less than four years. The bombs killed many Japanese, but saved the lives of just as many (or more) Americans, and even people of Japan, in ending World War II.

We built Liberty Ships within two weeks after start-ups, and furnished 20 large and 70 smaller aircraft carriers to help our navy fight Japan and Germany. We made sufficient weapons to outfit 1,000 new divisions not only for the benefit of U.S. forces, but also for those of England and the Soviet Union, and even sent them food and clothing for their soldiers. To stop Stalin from later grabbing all of Germany and other nations, the U.S. flew 10,000 tons per day of food as well as coal and other goods to Berliners during winter – an achievement never equaled by any other country on the globe: Germans failed to fly even 300 tons per day of food and weapons to their beleaguered troops in Stalingrad.

We prevailed because we fully sought to win the war against the erstwhile fascists and with equal will can win over the fascists of today. Some of them assert that Allah placed the oil beneath their ground, so they can have the funds with which to wage jihad against us. They thus admit that we are financing our own demise when we purchase oil and gas on foreign markets.

The new mosques being built throughout the world are mostly funded by the Wahabbis of Saudi Arabia, as are the madrassas, where children from five years onward are taught to hate and kill us; the camps where they are later trained to commit murder/suicide; the weapons they are purchasing from willing sellers; the tools of mass destruction they are buying or developing – all these deeds and transactions are paid with petrodollars we ourselves supply them more than any other nation on the globe.

Thus we repeat: we must soon attain energy independence and break the chain to energy from other

lands. In “Strike Back or Die!”, a book we have prepared about this issue, we show this goal to be quite attainable within a four to five-year period, but not by methods touted by the Greens. We also show that their remedies to this conform well to “political correctness”, but not to laws of nature and, in reality, are fraudulent, misleading and outdated, steering us into an abyss that we can and must avoid.

One of their ploys has been to confuse energy with power in the public mind. In electricity, power is measured in watts and is the RATE of using energy, while energy is power multiplied by time. Electric bills are paid in terms of kilowatt-*hours* (kilo meaning a thousand), and can be paid in larger or smaller units, but *always* involving time.

It is important to know this when we evaluate the worthiness or lack thereof of electric power plants. While they are rated in terms of their top outputs (i.e. capacities), there is another factor we must also consider: their *average* outputs over a lengthy stretch of time, such as a year. Greens hardly mention that the solar plants they so much cherish produce electricity at an average rate of only 20% of their capacities, if placed in the sunny desert parts of the U.S., and even less, if placed somewhere else. Wind turbines have somewhat higher average outputs: if placed in areas where wind speeds often are in the 20 miles per hour or higher range, they produce electric energy at a rate of 25 to 30% of their capacities, though greens tend to fudge this number to a large extent.

On the other hand, fossil power plants produce electric energy at an average rate of 70% of their capacities, while the rate for nuclear power plants is 90%, and is even higher in newer units: the Westinghouse AP 1000 plants (four of which were bought by China but, thanks to our greens, are still not built in the U.S.), can furnish it at a rate of 93%.

This means that each watt in a nuclear power plant would have to be replaced with at least 4.5 watts in a solar plant to furnish the same amount of electricity, and with 3 or more watts in wind turbines to do the same.

As to materials used for these “alternatives”, they are much higher than the materials used for nuclear or other standard plants. We should also realize that the so-called problem of nuclear residues was resolved long ago by recycling (as is done in France). We can thereby drastically reduce their impact and obtain extra electricity as well. However, U.S. greens fight this tooth and nail.

Failure to give full disclosure to the public often results in jails and fines to corporate executives, but greens spread deceit and exaggerations that endanger our economy and very lives, yet are not punished in the least for these deeds.

They claim that nuclear power plants are too costly and require too much time to build, but we are reminded that the nuclear reactors now supplying 20% of our electricity were built between 1963 and 1986 — the period during which we fought a war in Vietnam, sent men to the moon, and developed personnel computers.

The delays and increased costs in building nuclear power plants are caused by sabotage of the greens, as is the case with countless other worthy projects. Despite our much-advanced technology, the construction of the Panama Canal would have taken not 10 years but perhaps 50 years or even a century this time around. Consider all the obstacles, the lawsuits, the maneuvers greens would have placed in its way!

They cause the problems with our energy dilemma and then assert that we cannot fix it!

We stress what others stated in the past: ***The U.S. does not suffer from a lack of energy, but only from a lack of access to the energy it has.*** Indeed, claims that we are running out of energy, truly *viable* supplies of energy, remind us of predictions that pertain to food. They were made by a Stanford University professor, a major guru of the Greens:

His name is Dr. Paul Ehrlich. In 1968 he wrote a book entitled "The Population Bomb", in which he stated that, by 1980, there would be widespread starvation throughout the world, including Western countries; that by the year 2000, Great Britain would cease to exist because of this; while we, in the U.S, would be dying out *en masse* for the same reason.

Real scientists shun predictions based on conjecture, but are ignored by the news media and wealthy foundations, while Dr. Ehrlich received hundreds of thousands of dollars for his "predictions", even after they were shown to be false. Scientists who promote the global warming hysteria are glorified and funded, while those who question this are vilified and shunned. Nor do we know of any scientist who is rewarded for uttering the truth on energy: while our food supply is increasing as fast or faster than our nation's needs, our energy reserves have increased even faster. The enclosed photos indicate why we are stating this:

As can be seen, Alaska's oil facilities do not scare away its caribou, and there is proof that their number has increased since they were built. Vastly larger oil as well as gas reserves exist there, but Greens insist that they are harming these animals. Similarly, they are blocking access to enormous oil and gas reserves in other places, especially in offshore areas of our states.

During its 16 years of operation, the Seabrook nuclear power plant (also shown here) furnished electricity equivalent to the energy of more 120 million barrels of oil. Yet our Greens sought to have that plant dismantled, and it was saved only by intervention of world-renowned scientists – members of Scientists and Engineers for Secure Energy (SE2).

The recycling of spent nuclear fuel sharply cuts their radiation effect, and furnishes extra electricity, as is done in France. Used in advanced reactors, now under design, that fuel will last us for many centuries, if not millennia. Yet Greens oppose them and cite the tiniest radiation leak as a disaster. The radiation caused by the earthquake in Japan was a billion times less than the allowed amount, but not to greens. They won't reveal that we obtain more extra radiation by eating bananas, since they contain potassium 40, which is radioactive. Nor will Greens admit that, if used to fill even 5% of our electric needs, the chemicals in solar cells could do us more harm than all our nuclear power plants combined, including the reactor involved in Three Mile Island accident.

Mr. Soros, are you aware of the Samson Option? Many Israelis know what it entails, and support it.

You may recall what Samson did in his time of peril. He had his eyes gouged out by the Philistines, who also cut his hair off to deprive him of strength. He was brought to the Philistine temple to be mocked by his captors, but his hair had grown back and his strength returned. He asked the man who guarded him to place his hands against the pillars of the temple, and with all his strength brought them down along with the entire edifice where the Philistines

were present. Samson died beneath the wreckage, but his enemies perished with him.

The present Samson Option is to be used by Israel if it is attacked with weapons of mass destruction. So Israel is not a suicide/bomber nation, but will retaliate with all its might against its foes. Data from the U.S. Air Force Counterproliferation Center at Maxwell Air



Courtesy by Arctic Power



Courtesy by Photovault.com

Force Base Alabama shows that Israel had 400 nuclear weapons, many of them hydrogen bombs, ten years ago,¹ We have information that, fearing an abandonment by the U.S. after 9/11, it increased that arsenal by a factor of three. Thus, small as it is, Israel is a super power on this globe. ***We must consider this in all our personal as well as national decisions.***

Israel also has missiles capable of launching satellites into orbit, and missiles of that type can reach every spot on earth. Some of them are placed at extremely well protected sites, where only a direct hit even with atomic bombs can destroy them. Thus its enemies will need a great number of these weapons

to eliminate Israel's land-based nuclear arms. Yet it has them not only on land, but also on ships, such as the submarines it bought from Germany.

Israel may suffer gruesome casualties if it chooses to absorb a first strike against its people, but has the means to retaliate and destroy not only Iran and Saudi Arabia, but also Syria, Egypt, and other nations within the Middle East and other areas. If thus attacked, Israel will not wait to determine which nation did this, but will strike all nations that helped the terrorists against it. The oil fields in the Middle East will be rendered useless for thirty years or more, as will the cities and even farms of that region. For Israel also possesses neutron weapons in its arsenal.

This, Mr. Soros, is the Samson Option. Fearsome as it sounds, and dangerous to the entire world, it exists — and we should realize that, pushed far enough, Israel will use it.

Though you may already be aware of the Samson Option, we wish to spell it out just the same. Jews will no longer go quietly into the world's new Buchenwalds, or Auschwitzes, or Dachaus. They may suffer enormous casualties in the onrushing Armageddon, but will not let their foes get by with one-sided slaughter. They may, instead kill fifty times more people than may perish in their land.

We shall do our best to prevent such an outcome. We shall do our best to help the U.S. gain energy independence, to thereby stop the funding of our enemies with petrodollars, and sharply curtail their means to do harm in the world, to threaten nations with their heinous activities and push them to the wall. We shall take legal steps against green organizations that are blocking us from gaining this objective. We have a right to do this, to protect ourselves, our values, and Western civilization.

Mr. Soros, we don't expect any help from you for our work. Your actions show that you will, instead, continue to lavish millions of dollars on those who, by giving aid and comfort to our enemies, are foisting a disaster on the world. But can't you see that it would pale, by far, the disaster brought on more than 60 years ago by dictators and fools?

¹ See www.fas.org/nuke/guide/israel/nuke/farr.htm
Scroll to Appendix "A"

And now a plea:

Help us distribute this information to millions of Americans. Join our pending lawsuit against our largest environmentalist organizations. We need two thousand or more participants to be most effective. Send your check, or make a donation via on-line PayPal.

The Manhattan Project 2 Corporation is a non-profit, non-partisan organization, and your gift is tax deductible under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Service Code.

See our website:

www.EnergyTruth.com

Or contact us directly:

Mike Oliver, President

Manhattan Project 2 Corporation

27943 Seco Canyon Road #223, Santa Clarita, CA 91350

Tel: (775) 721-2976

E-mail: moliver@energytruth.com



The Manhattan Project 2 Corporation (MP2), was founded by Dr. John Hospers, Mike Oliver, and Dr. Zack Bergan. Dr. Hospers is Emeritus Professor of Philosophy of USC, and author of 10 books and 150 articles in prestigious journals. Mike Oliver was born in Lithuania, spent four years in Nazi concentration camps, was liberated by U.S. troops, became a U.S. citizen, and served with the U.S. Air Force during the Korean War. He later worked with U.S. companies in the development of radar as well as satellite tracking systems, and is devoted to gain energy independence for his adopted country. Dr. Zack Bergan holds a Ph.D. in Pharmacological Sciences from the University of Connecticut. He is dedicating much of his time to alert American about the spread of disinformation on energy by sham environmentalists, and the disastrous effect this has on the security of our nation. Hospers and Oliver co-authored "Environmentalism Gone Berserk" – a book that was sold only on the Internet or by referrals. It was endorsed by world renowned scientists, including Dr. Frederick Seitz, Past President of the National Academy of Sciences, and President Emeritus of the Rockefeller University. Issued in 1995, the book predicted a massive growth of terrorism, unless its funding by petrodollars was ended.